



Basins: Western Black Sea (WBSB), Eastern Black Sea (ESBSB); TT – Tuapse.  
 Ridges: Shatsky (ShR).  
 Large scale fault zones: OS – Odessa-Sinop, FS – subparallel to Intra-Pontide Suture.

Lithospheric schematic cross-sections through the Black Sea Basins from geophysical data.

## Plate Tectonics from the Top-down

© D. Stegman<sup>1</sup>, W. Schellart<sup>2</sup>, F. Capitanio<sup>2,3</sup>, R. Farrington<sup>3</sup>, 2010

<sup>1</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, USA  
 dstegman@ucsd.edu

<sup>2</sup>School of Geosciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia  
 wouter.schellart@monash.edu

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia  
 Fabio.capitanio@monash.edu  
 Rebecca.farrington@monash.edu

Subducting slabs represent the continuously recycled cold thermal boundary layer of the Earth's convecting mantle, and are thought to be the primary driving force for plate tectonics. Subducted tectonic plates (slabs) sink through the mantle and pull the plate they are attached to, but this subduction can be accommodated by two modes: the forward motion of the subducting plate or backwards motion of the plate boundary. The latter is the process

of slab rollback and is associated with retreating trenches.

Over the past decade, both analogue and numerical models of subduction have been developed which consider the dynamics of a single, isolated plate sinking into a passive upper mantle. These models offer a novel way to investigate aspects of plate tectonics and mantle convection through single-sided, asymmetric subduction with a coupled

lithosphere-mantle system, but are restricted to the upper 1000 km of the mantle and 50 million years of progressive time-evolution. While such models assume plates with simplified rheologies, uniform thickness and uniform density contrasts appropriate for mature oceanic lithosphere, their resultant 3D subduction dynamics are quite rich. The subducting plate and the sinking slab are coupled through a stress guide in the middle of the subducting plate (the strong core) as well as by virtue of poloidal and toroidal flows induced in the surrounding mantle. We will present the latest generation of these numerical models and provide an overview of how these models can be used to investigate the development of trench curvature, how the subduction rate is partitioned between forward plate advance and slab rollback, and how slab morphologies in the upper mantle are a product of these plate and trench motions.

As a result of numerous experiments, five distinct styles of subduction emerge as the entirety of possible ways a plate can subduct and these have been quantitatively described in a regime diagram with predictive capability. We propose that the variety of subduction regimes are generated primarily as a direct consequence of the presence of the modest barrier to flow into the lower mantle. The regime diagram can be understood from the com-

petition between the weight of the slab and the strength of the plate, which are related to each other through an applied bending moment, and this competition produces a particular radius of curvature (for which we provide a simple scaling theory). Based on this regime diagram, and observations of the bending moment at several trenches, we propose that modern plate tectonics operates entirely within only 2 of these styles, but we speculate that other modes may have been the predominant style of subduction in the Precambrian.

Additionally, for the regime operating on present-day Earth (the Folding mode), we show that slab width ( $W$ ) controls these modes and the partitioning of subduction between them. Using models from the Folding regime and a global subduction zone data set, we show that subducting plate velocity scales with  $(W)^{2/3}$ , whereas trench velocity scales with  $1/W$ . These findings explain the Cenozoic slowdown of the Farallon plate and the decrease in subduction partitioning by its decreasing slab width. The change from Sevier-Laramide orogenesis to Basin and Range extension in North America is also explained by slab width; shortening occurred during wide-slab subduction and overriding-plate — driven trench retreat, whereas extension occurred during intermediate to narrow-slab subduction and slab-driven trench retreat.

## Dynamic of gas hydrate deposits evolution under subaqueous conditions

© E. Suetnova, 2010

Institute of Physics of the Earth, RAS, Moscow, Russia  
elena\_suetnova@mail.ru

At present, more than 100 areas of gas hydrate manifestations in sediments have been revealed by various geophysical (mainly seismic) methods. Subsurface filtration is the most powerful process of gas and fluid transport into hydrate stability zone to form gas hydrate deposits in sediments [Davie, Buffet, 2002]. Pressures and temperatures favorable for the formation and stability of gas hydrates are widespread in seafloor structures, particularly, at continental margins, where accumulated sediments contain appreciable amounts of biological material, ensuring gas (mainly methane) influx into crustal fluids. Depths of hydrate stability interval and hydrate saturation are different in natural conditions. These differences were interpreted usually in the

frame of thermal regime peculiarity. Peculiarity of sediment accumulation processes was not considered usually, but the sedimentation regime determined the evolution of porosity, permeability, fluid pressure and filtration rate in accumulating sediments [Suetnova, Vasseur, 2000]. Thus, to understand the mechanisms of accumulation and evolution of hydrate deposits in sediments during geological history it is necessary to study the complex geophysical process of porosity, filtration and hydrate accumulation evolution. The author's recent results of numerical modeling of gas hydrate accumulation in dependence on geophysical condition of sedimentation are presented below.

*Methods and results.* Gas and fluid filtration is